



		/						/						
--	--	---	--	--	--	--	--	---	--	--	--	--	--	--

# Application for the review of a premises licence or club premises certificate under the Licensing Act 2003

## PLEASE READ THE FOLLOWING INSTRUCTIONS FIRST

Before completing this form please read the guidance notes at the end of the form. If you are completing this form by hand please write legibly in block capitals. In all cases ensure that your answers are inside the boxes and written or typed in black ink. Use additional sheets if necessary. You may wish to keep a copy of the completed form for your records.

I PC 21945 Rackham

.....  
(Insert name of applicant)

apply for the review of a premises licence under section 51 / apply for the review of a club premises certificate under section 87 of the Licensing Act 2003 for the premises described in Part 1 below (delete as applicable).

Part 1 – Premises or club premises details			
Postal address of premises or, if none, ordnance survey map reference or description			
Mutiny Festival King George V Playing Fields			
<b>Post town</b>	Portsmouth	<b>Post code</b>	PO6 3XA

Name of premises licence holder or club holding club premises certificate (if known)
Mutiny in the Park Limited

Number of premises licence or club premises certificate (if known)

<b>Part 2 – Applicant details</b>	
I am	<b>Please tick <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> yes</b>
1) an individual, body or business which is not a responsible authority (please read guidance note 1, and complete (A) or (B) below)	
2) a responsible authority (please complete (C) below)	x
3) a member of the club to which this application relates (please complete (A) below)	

<b>(A) INDIVIDUAL APPLICANTS</b> (fill in as applicable)									
Please tick <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> yes									
Mr		Mrs		Miss		Ms		Other title (for example, Rev)	
<b>Surname</b>					<b>First names</b>				
<b>I am 18 years old or over</b>								(Please tick <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> yes)	
<b>Current postal address if different from premises address</b>									
<b>Post town</b>						<b>Post code</b>			
<b>Daytime contact telephone number</b>									
<b>Email address (optional)</b>									
<b>Post Town</b>					<b>Post Code</b>				

**(B) DETAILS OF OTHER APPLICANT**

Name and address

Telephone number (if any)

Email address (optional)

**(C) DETAILS OF RESPONSIBLE AUTHORITY APPLICANT**

Name and address

PC 21945 Rackham – Hampshire Constabulary  
 Alcohol Harm Reduction and Licensing Team  
 Licensing Office  
 Guildhall Square  
 Portsmouth  
 Hampshire  
 PO1 2AL

Telephone number (if any) 02392 688754

E-mail address (optional) peter.rackham@hampshire.pnn.police.uk

**This application to review relates to the following licensing objective(s)**Please tick one or more boxes 

1) the prevention of crime and disorder

2) public safety

3) the prevention of public nuisance

4) the protection of children from harm

**Please state the ground(s) for review** (please read guidance note 2)

The Chief Officer of Police has requested a review of the Mutiny Festival premises licence under the following grounds:

- Prevention of Crime and Disorder
- Protection of Children from Harm
- Public Safety

This is following concerns around the ability of the licence holder to ensure that persons under the age of 16 do not gain access to the premises when open. There are also concerns around the safety of persons under the age of 18 attending the premises, the ability of the premises licence holder to manage the access of persons under 18 attending the premises and the concern that this had led to persons between the ages of 13 and 17 becoming victims of crime at the premises.

Due to these concerns the Chief Officer of Police does not feel that the premises is a suitable one for persons under the age of 18 and will be requesting the committee to consider imposing a condition on the premises licence that any person under the age of 18 shall not be permitted to attend the premises.

**Please provide as much information as possible to support the application** (please read guidance note 3)

### **Summary of event and licence**

Mutiny is a music festival with a capacity of around 15,000 persons on King George V playing fields in Cosham. There is a premises licence in place for the event which was granted by Portsmouth City Council in 2016. This allows the sale of alcohol between 12:00 – 00:00 over a two day period each year on a Saturday and Sunday. There is also the provision for live music and recorded music between the same times and the provision of late night refreshment between 23:00 – 00:00 on both these nights.

The premises licence is heavily conditioned around the responsible sale of alcohol at the premises. There are conditions around how the premises will manage the safety of persons between the ages of 16 and 17 at the event. The premises licence does not allow persons below the age of 16 access to the event. This can all be found on the premises licence.

Over the years the licence has had a number of differing Designated Premises Supervisors. These are usually from the bar company being used at the premises. What has remained consistent is the involvement of Luke Betts and Neil Roberts as the organisers of the event. They have been the special points of contact for the Police regarding the event.

### **Type of event and demographic**

Mutiny Festival is predominantly a dance based music event. The larger acts promoted over the years have ranged from DJ Pete Tong (Dance), Snoop Dog (Hip Hop/Gangsta Rap), Groove Armada (Dance), Steve Angelo (Dance), Cee Lo Green (Pop), 50 Cent (Hip Hop/Gangsta Rap) and Chase and Status (Dance) amongst other acts.

This attracts a wider demographic of persons to the event ranging from young persons (promoted to those 16+) through to those that are middle aged. The persons who from experience tend to attend more often than not are those between the 16 and 25 age group.

The event also heavily targets a younger demographic due to sponsors wanting to promote their brand to those persons. The Police understand that originally the event organisers were under pressure to allow younger persons from the age of 14 to the event, however they took the decision to keep it at 16+ following engagement with the Police and the Licensing authorities. The police position has always been that this event should be an 18+ event.

## **History of the event and licence pre 2017 and timeline of Police actions in relation to the protection of children from harm licensing objective.**

### **2014 pre event**

Mutiny first came into being in 2014. Mr Betts approached the Police and Licensing Authority with a view of holding a 5000 capacity music event in Victoria Park in Portsmouth. Mr Betts wanted to be able to allow persons under the age of 18 to attend the event.

The Police and Mr Betts agreed a wide range of conditions on the licence to support the licensing objectives. However concerns were raised with him and his team over the age demographic. Concerns were raised over the type of event being dance focused, the risk that this may pose to children attending the event and the suitability of the event.

As a result the Chief Officer of Police made representations regarding the application and requested that the event be one which is 18 and over. Following these representations and those made by the applicant, the licensing committee took the decision to grant the premises licence without this condition.

A copy of the representations made by the Police are provided in **Annex A** of the Police Bundle.

### **2014 attendance to the event by Police Licensing**

Officers from Hampshire Constabularies licensing team attended the premises during the 2014 event. The premises was compliant with its licence conditions, the bar operation was a good one; the premises had a medical facility and a welfare facility which appeared to be fit for purpose. No issues were raised with regards to the event.

Following the event there were around 9 incidents attributed to it. These were predominantly low level assaults and public order incidents upon the egress of the event.

What was noted however was that whilst during the day the premises was suitable for those under the age of 18, come night fall the atmosphere had changed and was less suitable for those under 18.

### **2015 event Fontwell Park/Sussex**

In 2015 the event expanded and moved to Fontwell Park in Sussex. As a result officers from Hampshire Police Licensing were not present at the event.

Following the event we were made aware by partner agencies from Sussex Police and Arun District Council that they had serious concerns with how the event unfolded.

We were advised by Arun District Council representatives and the Silver Commander for the event from Sussex Police at Superintendent level that there were concerns with the following:

- Children obtaining alcohol at the premises
- Issues with crowd safety including crime and disorder
- Poor management of these issues
- Organised Crime Groups attending the premises to deal drugs

- Breaches of the premises licence conditions
- Issues with search lanes
- Young persons attending the premises unaccompanied
- Security not managing the situation very well
- Deviations from the management plan

As a result the authorities from Sussex made it very clear that they would not be allowing the event to take place in Sussex again due to these concerns.

### **Pre 2016 Event King George V Playing Fields Cosham**

Due to the representations made the Sussex authorities the Mutiny organisers contacted the Police Licensing department with a view of moving back to the Portsmouth area and to hold the event in 2016 at KGV playing fields. This was pending a decision to be made by the council over the use of their land and the organisers would need to apply for a new premises licence for the site.

Initially it was made clear to the Police that the organisers wished to put on a music festival where persons aged 16+ would be able to attend.

Once again the Chief Officer of Police raised serious concerns around how this would be managed and the potential negative impact this may have on those under the age of 18. As a result a number of multi agency meetings took place with regards to addressing these concerns.

A summary of these meetings taken by the Police are provided as **Annex B** of the Police bundle.

During these meetings the organisers stated that:

- They disagreed with the Silver Commanders assessment of the event in 2015 from a crime and disorder perspective and crowd safety.
- They did not believe that the 2015 event contributed heavily to crime and disorder as the actual crimes that had occurred were not high.
- They were unaware of any children obtaining alcohol. No test purchase failures were reported to management or staff by the authorities and they stated that this particular incident had not been raised with them.
- They agreed that there were some points which needed addressing moving forward and accepted that some parts of the 2015 event were not ideal.

As a result of these meetings the organisers agreed to accept the measures on the premises licence and agreed to implement them at the 2016 event:

- All persons to be asked for ID at the bar, effectively operating a no ID no sale policy to prevent persons under 18 obtaining alcohol.
- Disney queues to be placed at the volume bars with SIA on them to filter out any persons with no ID.
- A separate queuing area for those attending between the ages of 16-18.
- Focus on this area to ensure that those attending between 16-17 are accompanied with an adult.
- A system whereby the adults accompanying the children have their details taken and logged alongside the children they are attending with so that should an issue arise a

parent can be contacted.

- A dedicated welfare area managed by dedicated welfare officers to care for/manage any children lost/found/vulnerable.

A copy of the conditions agreed with the premises licence holder for the 2016 event is provided in **Annex C** of the Police Bundle.

Following the 2014 event success and the measures which the premises licence holder stated they would put in place, after much consideration the Chief Officer of Police took the decision to agree conditions with the organisers.

As such a licence was granted for the premises.

### **2016 Event**

Police licensing officers attended the event and also performed a test purchase operation. The following positive points from a licensing perspective were noted:

- No breaches noted of the premises licence conditions with the exception of persons under the age of 16 gaining entry to the event.
- Bars operated well.
- No Test Purchase Failures, 16 year old operative challenged for ID and refused service at all bars.
- Limited numbers of incidents of crime and disorder a full break down can be found in **Annex D** of the Police bundle.
- For a dance festival a distinct lack of drugs paraphernalia found in and around the site.

The points for improvement that were noted were:

- Egress did not go very well. Persons leaving the site were able to encroach on the Western Road national speed limit dual carriage way and concerns raised that the operation of the egress was not something which matched the plans provided to the Police.
- Persons under 18 were gaining access to the premises without a responsible adult by “tagging” on to adult customers thus being left alone and able to attend with no one to care for them.
- Difficulties in managing the paperwork side re the details taken. This was due to an unexpected number of persons attending with children. If details needed to be gone through this would have taken a long time to do so.
- A young demographic which visibly appeared not to have any unaccompanied adults with them.
- An incident whereby a 16 year old gained entry to the site by “tagging” along with another adult not known to them. Licensing officers noted that he had alcohol in his hand. Challenged him and then found a large amount of cash on him along with items which would suggest involvement in the supply of drugs.

I provide as **Annex D** a list of incidents reported to the Police which are directly attributable to the event in 2016.

### **Pre 2017 Event**

Following the points raised from the 2016 event the Police took the decision to continue

working with the premises licence holder and make reasonable improvements to the event. Particularly around the child safety aspect of the event.

As such the premises licence holder implemented a new policy around child welfare in which the following main points (amongst others) would be implemented:

- Using a dedicated welfare team to manage 3 points on site, the main welfare tent (management of children who are already on site), and a secondary welfare tent for those who are attempting to gain entry and cannot enter and require support.
- Having a separate entry point for persons under 18 and the responsible adult
- Ensuring that this policy is displayed on the event website and including them on the Ts and Cs on the ticket.
- Having welfare staff monitoring for those under the age of 18 and ensuring they attend the correct site.
- Having security being aware of children approaching unknown adults, intervening if they are unhappy and then acting upon this to prevent unaccompanied children on site.

The premises licence holder also provided to the Police a new plan around the egress. This plan ultimately ensured that a road closure was in place on Northern Road to assist with a safe egress for customers using the road. The premises licence holder also stated as part of this plan that staff would put out barriers along the Western Road end of the outer site with stewards to gently funnel people away from the dual carriage way.

The child welfare plan can be found under **Annex E** of the Police bundle.

Following reassurance from the premises licence holder that these policies would prevent any issues from arising and resolve the concerns raised the previous year a decision was made to a minor variation of the premises licence to tweak conditions relating to these points.

### **Event 2017**

Police Licensing Officers were present over the course of the event and also performed a test purchase operation.

Over the course of the weekend a number of concerns were raised with the event organisers which seriously undermined our faith in the ability of the premises to operate in a way which promoted the licensing objectives and which matched their own policies and procedures.

### **Egress**

Despite the plan for the safe egress of up to 15,000 people provided to the authorities by the premises licence holder which on paper appeared sufficient. In practice the reality was somewhat different.

On the Saturday night it was clear that by 22:30 hours persons were beginning to leave the site via the main exit onto the field opposite the Western Road dual carriage way. At this time the barriers and SIA were not in place to funnel people away from the road.

The Police Command Team made repeated attempts to get hold of the management to alert them to this emerging issue. They struggled to make contact as it appeared the management team with ownership of this aspect of the event were tied up dealing with another issue on



site.

Due to these issues with contact and with the lack of response to an impending main egress from site, it became clear that nothing was happening. As a result a decision was taken to attempt to deploy Police resources into this area to manage the egress.

At around 22:55 hours the organisers began placing the barriers out and a small number of stewards to manage the thousands leaving the site. Shortly after this point the Police assisted after a large number of persons began walking towards and crossing the dual carriage way. Staff on the barriers did not appear pro active in preventing persons crossing the lines. This seriously put persons at risk due to the live carriageway they were moving onto. It was only once the Police were in position and providing clear instruction that the situation become safer, with 15 further officers required to achieve this.

This matter was raised with the premises licence holder prior to the Sunday night egress. Whilst there were still some minor issues with regards to the egress the issues raised the previous night were less prevalent and the policy provided by the organisers appeared to be complied with.

Had the premises licence holder followed their egress policy then these issues would not have emerged.

### **Emergency Liaison Team area**

The emergency liaison team area was not fit for purpose at the event. The premises licence holders use the pavilion area for this. Unfortunately this leads to persons being separated and not having direct lines of communication with one another when there is an emerging issue.

The Chief Officer of Police believes that this has led to a number of communication breakdowns over the course of the event which has had the capacity to seriously undermine the licensing objectives at the premises. Two of these incidents were:

- The egress on the Saturday night
- The lack of communication between the event organisers and the Police around a group of persons identified as being involved in the supply of psychoactive substances.

This raises further questions around what else is being affected by the lack of an ELT in one room where persons are able to communicate effectively, clearly and deal with any emerging issues rather than play catch up to the detriment of the licensing objectives.

### **Access of Children to the event and related child safety concerns**

There was a distinct increase in young persons under the age of 18 attending the event this year. This caused particular concern for the Police and organisers around the following:

#### **Entrance Point**

Because the ticketing is the same for children and adults the organisers were unable to make the necessary adjustments to their plans to manage this aspect of the event. At times the children's entrance became very busy and huge pressure was placed on the staff members there. This affected the process to ensure that only persons between 16-17 came onto site

with a responsible adult as staff could not process the persons attending quickly enough. This led to persons under the age of 16 entering the premises and also led to persons between 16 and 17 gaining access to the event with persons who they had “tagged onto”. This left the plans the organisers stated would prevent this happening redundant.

One such incident occurred when Police Sergeant Wragg (a very experienced Sergeant with events and one who is a Public Order tactical advisor) observing an incident where two young persons and their guardian make their way through the access point (having gone through the systems put in place by the organiser) and into the premises before going off separately. PS Wragg speaks with the young persons and one states she is 15 before quickly changing her age to 16. The guardian is then spoken with who is 18 and states that one of the young persons is his sister, the other her friend whom he does not know. This is clarified by the friend of the guardian who is also over the age of 18. PS Wragg invites all parties back to the access point to speak with the welfare team and the security.

At the access point the welfare team attempt to gain the signing in documents of the young person. This does not work. The young person who has been signed in has not received a band containing a correlating number to the document with their details and the details provided by the young person are incorrect. At this stage the young person and the guardian state that the confusion over the 15 years of age is down to the young person very recently having had her birthday and she is in fact 16.

Due to this PS Wragg alerts security who speak with the group and get them to re sign the documentation. They are then allowed to enter the premises and do so and remain on site. Following this PS Wragg speaks with the security who admits he is unable to check the ID of young persons as they don't have photographic ID as an adult would. The security also state that the young person and her guardian state she is 16 and have provided a date of birth which makes her 16. PS Wragg looks at the paperwork and states that the birthday given is not recent.

A copy of this incident can be played to the committee should they wish to view it.

This one incident in the opinion of the Chief Officer of Police was not unique; it was not an isolated incident and was not out of the ordinary. It is quite clear from the incidents of crime and disorder reported to Police on the day that persons under the age of 16 were able to access the event and that the control measures put in place by the organisers did not work.

What was also noted from officers including those from the Police licensing team was that there were a lack of staff members monitoring the queuing lanes looking for children approaching adults and on the inside of the entrance point looking for children departing from those adults who are not responsible adults.

Police raised this a number of times with the organisers and also the security teams. However it did not appear that these measures were actioned.

### **Paperwork**

One of the issues which again gives Police concerns about the ability of the premises licence holder to manage sufficiently the safety of children at the event were the documents which detailed the responsible adults and the children they were with. When the event became busy the welfare staff working this aspect of the event were struggling to complete the forms. This had the effect of details not being properly logged and persons providing obvious fake names.

On a number of occasions details needed to be asked for of children aged between 16-17 and those under 16 who had either become a victim or perpetrator of crime. The Police were handed a thick bundle of paper in no particular order and advised they would have to sift through the documentation. It became very clear that should staff or Police need to make contact with any responsible adult then this would prove extremely difficult.

### **Drugs**

The first day of the event there did not appear to be a huge amount of drug paraphernalia on show at the event. By the second day it was clear that there was an increase in the consumption of drugs at the site. Covered areas such as the big top tents were littered with small metallic bottles of Nitrous Oxide and small clear plastic bags with powder residue. This indicated that in these areas there was a issue with drug use at the event. What should be noted by the committee is that the majority of these tented areas at the event were heavily frequented by children as they offer as close to a night club experience as they can realistically get at such an event. This has raised concerns about the potential of harm to children at the premises as they mix with adults at the premises, and the increased risk of harm to children from the use of illegal substances.

### **Incidents**

**Annex F** provided in the Police bundle shows a summary of the incidents reported to Police at the event which involve assaults, the supply of drugs or psychoactive substances, the possession of drugs, ASB and sexual assaults. The following incidents are those which affected children at the event in slightly more detail:

#### **Sexual Assault – 44170200617 – 27/05/2017 between 19:30 – 22:30**

14 year old female approaches medical staff in the company of her 13 year old sister at the event. It is noted that she has a nose bleed and is extremely emotional. The female advises the doctor that a group of males cornered her and her friends in the big top tent and that she was digitally penetrated. Police are called and investigate the matter but unfortunately the victim is unable to provide a clear description of the male. Later the victim does not wish to engage with the Police regarding the incident.

Upon investigating the matter it is ascertained that the female's parent has got her into the event and then has left leaving them with friends. As stated earlier, this is the incident where the welfare team provided the investigating Police with the list of paper to locate the victim's responsible adult.

#### **ABH – 44170201319 – 28/05/2017 14:00- 14:38**

A 13 year old male is found on the pavement a short distance away from the event and advises the Police that he has been assaulted by an unknown person whilst attempting to gain entry to the premises. The victim reports that he was punched 4 times to the face and head and had a lump on the rear of his head consistent with the account provided. Police arrange for an ambulance to take the victim to hospital. Whilst at the Hospital the victim admits to also having consumed Nitrous Oxide, Cannabis and alcohol.

The offender in this case has not been identified and as such the matter has been filed.

**ABH (But awaiting clarification from victim around injuries which could make the**

**matter a GBH)**

**44170201654 28/05/2017 18:15 – 18:45**

Group of persons attend the event. During the event the suspect who is 17 punches the victim in the face causing a black eye, bruising and a blood shot and painful eye.

The victim has disclosed details of the offender and the investigation is still ongoing in this matter. Police are still awaiting medical reports from the Victim to see if the eye socket is fractured. If this is the case then the offence will be re graded to a GBH.

**Sexual Assault – 44170201821 – 28/05/2017 21:30**

Report from welfare area of a 17 year old female at the event stating she had been sexually assaulted. Police attend and ascertained that an unknown male approached the victim from behind, placed his arms around her and then touched her breasts over her clothing before running his hands down her thighs and body. Following this the unknown male then places a fosters bottle into the mouth of the 17 year old and she drinks a small quantity of it. The victim states that it was not lager and could not describe the taste. Moments later she feels wobbly and is assisted to the welfare area by friends.

Police have attempted to investigate this matter but the victim does not wish to engage with the Police.

**ABH – 44170202747 – 28/05/2017 20:00 – 22:00**

15 year old female reporting that she has seen her ex partner at the event and he has thrown a bottle of drink at her hitting her on the arm and the shoulder causing bruising to her.

Police arrest the male at a later date and interview him. Following evidential difficulties the male is released without charge.

**Summary of Incidents**

Alongside the incidents provided in **Annex F** the incidents relating to persons under the age of 18 at the event make for concerning reading. The failure of the premises licence holder to effectively manage the entry of persons coming onto the event in a way which is diligent and in line with the plans provided to the Police and other authorities has led to not only incidents where children have come to harm but incidents where it is quite clear that children have been in a position which is not suitable for them.

**Engagement post event**

Following the 2017 event the Police Licensing team appraised the premises licence holder of the concerns raised above and requested that they begin considering their response to the concerns.

Following this meeting there was a further wash up meeting at Portsmouth City Council including other relevant parties. Once again these concerns were raised to the premises licence holder. The Premises Licence Holder agreed to look into ways to deal with these measures and provided the Police with a document detailing how this would be done for the 2018 event.

This document is provided in **Annex G** of the Police bundle and does recognise the failings

of the system in place for 2016 and 2017 around the entry of persons under 18 to the event. The document also offers solutions to these issues as well as some of the others raised.

### **Police Concerns and request to the committee**

Since the 2014 inception of the event the Chief Officer of Police has raised serious concerns with regards to the ability of children to attend the event and enter the premises. The Chief Officer of Police has advised the organisers along every step of the way the concerns of the Police, of the importance of having robust plans to prevent issues around children and the potential risk this event carries to children attending.

The Chief Officer of Police due to the above information has carefully considered how to deal with this issue and what measures can be taken to ensure that moving forward the Protection of Children from Harm and Prevention of Crime and Disorder licensing objectives can be promoted at the premises.

Unfortunately at the 2017 event children under the age of 16 were able to access the premises. Whilst the Police are not seeking to prosecute in this matter, this is a breach of the premises licence conditions and potentially an offence under Section 136 of the Licensing Act 2003. This has led to children becoming victims and perpetrators of assaults and being sexually assaulted. The event has also had an issue with an increase in drug use which children up to the age of 17 have been exposed to. As such the Chief Officer of Police has formed the opinion that this event is not suitable for persons under the age of 18.

Whilst the Chief Officer of Police accepts that the working relationship with the premises licence holder is a positive one, there are concerns that without change the issues raised will continue and potentially escalate. This will if not dealt with; seriously undermine the protection of children from harm licensing objective.

Unfortunately we find ourselves in a position where our thoughts on how to resolve this matter do not match those of the event organiser and as such we put this decision to the committee to make once they have considered the evidence on both sides.

Whilst the premises licence holder has offered up some positive ideas with which to tackle the issues raised, it is the belief of the Chief Officer of Police that the licence holder has demonstrated an inability to successfully action their own policies and procedures. Furthermore there is no way to test their proposals, leaving it to chance on the day that proposals will mitigate the risk to children. This is unacceptable.

As a result the Chief Officer of Police requests that the committee consider placing a condition on the premises licence which will prevent any person under the age of 18 from attending the premises in any capacity. This will completely remove the risk currently associated with what was observed and evidenced at the 2017 event.

Submitted for the committees consideration.

Please tick  yes

Have you made an application for review relating to the premises before?								
If yes, please state the date of that application								
			Day		Month		Year	

**If you have made representations before relating to the premises please state what they were and when you made them**

Please tick  yes

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>I have sent copies of this form and enclosures to the responsible authorities and the premises licence holder or club holding the club premises certificate, as appropriate.</li> </ul>		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>I understand that if I do not comply with the above requirements my application will be rejected.</li> </ul>		
<p><b>IT IS AN OFFENCE, LIABLE ON CONVICTION TO A FINE UP TO LEVEL 5 ON THE STANDARD SCALE, UNDER SECTION 158 OF THE LICENSING ACT 2003 TO MAKE A FALSE STATEMENT IN OR IN CONNECTION WITH THIS APPLICATION</b></p>		
<p><b>Part 3 – Signatures</b> (please read guidance note 4)</p>		
<p><b>Signature of applicant or applicant’s solicitor or other duly authorised agent</b> (See guidance note 5). <b>If signing on behalf of the applicant please state in what capacity.</b></p>		
Signature	PC 21945 Rackham	
Date	12 <sup>th</sup> October 2017	
Capacity	Police Constable on behalf of the Chief Officer of Police for Hampshire Constabulary	

<p><b>Contact name (where not previously given) and postal address for correspondence associated with this application</b> (please read guidance note 6)</p>			
<b>Post town</b>		<b>Post code</b>	
<b>Telephone number (if any)</b>			
<b>If you would prefer us to correspond with you by email, your email address (optional)</b>			

**Notes for Guidance**

1. A responsible authority includes the local police, fire and rescue authority and other statutory bodies which exercise specific functions in the local area.
2. The ground(s) for review must be based on one of the licensing objectives.
3. Please list any additional information or details, for example dates of problems which are included in the grounds for review if available.
4. The application form must be signed.
5. An applicant’s agent (for example solicitor) may sign the form on their behalf provided that they have actual authority to do so.
6. This is the address which we shall use to correspond with you about this application.